

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/025,718	12/19/2001	Robert C. Moore	SD7063S98628 7995	
7590 02/10/2004			EXAMINER	
Sandia National Laboratories P. O. Box 5800 - MS-0161 Albuquerque, NM 87185-0161		PHAM, M		NH CHAU THI
			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/025,718	MOORE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 GIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers					
	he specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) T	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list o	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachment(
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and Trac	1.0%					

Application/Control Number: 10/025,718

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuster et al (5,114,592; Abstract; Figs. 1 & 2; col. 4, lines 34-46; col. 5, lines 30-41; col. 7, lines 63-68; col. 18, lines 42-68; col. 19. lines 14-17; col. 20, lines 35-39).

Schuster et al disclose a water treatment system for reducing the concentration of arsenic in water comprising means for adding magnesium hydroxide to the water, means for adsorbing arsenic on the magnesium hydroxide, and means for separating and removing from the water the magnesium hydroxide with adsorbed arsenic thereby reducing the concentration of arsenic in the water. Schuster et al further disclose when adding magnesium oxide as reactive magnesium oxide which converts to magnesium

Application/Control Number: 10/025,718

Art Unit: 1724

hydroxide upon contact with water, means for adsorbing arsenic on the magnesium oxide and means for mixing the magnesium hydroxide with water by stirring. Schuster et al also disclose means for adding magnesium hydroxide to the water with a means of water softening that removes carbonate from the water by adding a compound that causes precipitation of CaCO3 from the water and means for increasing the pH level to decrease the rate of formation of MgCO3. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a water treatment system for removing the concentration of arsenic in water as taught by Schuster et al in order to provide an apparatus for a highly efficient separation of arsenic from water, which is applicable to industrial effluents and which makes it possible to reduce the arsenic to corresponding final concentration values conforming with water safety regulations.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Reyna (6,319,412 B1) discloses a waste water treatment process.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571) 272-1156. The fax phone

Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0987.

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724

January 30, 2004